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Global and local warming

Two independent effects of warming can be distinguished

- **global**: enhanced moisture from oceanic evaporation (remote effect)
- **local**: larger water holding capacity of the air

What is their combined effect on precipitation?
local warming

- comprises all the *observational statistics* between local temperature and moisture variables
- includes *no remote effects* from advection of increased moisture
- is an artificial concept
- that attempts to clarify the effect of global warming on local precipitation
daily variables

- $m_p$ - precipitation sum
- $f_p$ - precipitation frequency
- $I_p$ - precipitation intensity (sum per wet day)
- $T$ - temperature
- $RH$ - relative humidity
regression function $\Phi$ of two random variables $X$ and $Y$

$$y = \Phi(x) = E(Y|X=x) = \int \eta f(x, \eta) d\eta$$

plot based on observed $T$ and $RH$ in Karlsruhe, 1961-90
(kernel regression)
regression functions of $m_p$, $f_p$, $I_p$ over $T$

based on 1961-90
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regression functions of $f_p$, $I_p$ over $T$, RH

based on Karlsruhe, 1961-90

— winter climate
— summer climate
$f_p$ and $I_p$ under local warming
(simplistic)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Karlsruhe</th>
<th>winter</th>
<th>summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_p$</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_p$</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions local warming

- Local warming offers a simple (simplistic) view on precipitation climate change.
- After that, winter $I_p$ increases and summer $f_p$ decreases.
- Local warming is based on past statistics.
- It misses the effect of enhanced remote (oceanic) evaporation and advection of moisture under future climate conditions.
- Local warming is not global warming
global atmospheric moisture

Not only is there larger water holding capacity, but also more water
Old Europe

(seen from GCM)
The problem of scales

• GCMs are large-scale in space and time. They describe (at most) synoptic-scale atmospheric behavior.

• Hydrologic phenomena are small-scale. Their simulation requires (at least) daily meteorological input at the catchment scale.
downscaling

global circulation $g$

transfer function $f$

local weather $l$

$$f$$

$g \rightarrow l$

$$l = f(g) + \epsilon$$

minimize $\left< (l - f(g))^2 \right>$

linear regression: $L = C_{lq}(C_{qq})^{-1}$  \quad (C_{lq}, \text{ covariance})
reduced model variability, $L C_{gg} L^T$, according to ...

$$L = C_{lg}(C_{lg})^{-1} \Rightarrow L C_{gg} L^T = RC_{ll} < C_{ll}$$

with $R = C_{lg}(C_{lg})^{-1} C_{gl}(C_{ll})^{-1}$ canonical correlation matrix, $|R| < 1$

[i.e., the eigenvectors of $R$ are the canonical correlation patterns with corresponding eigenvalues (correlations) $\leq 1$.]

My Grandmothers principle:

"If uncertain, don't do anything."

- Regression inappropriate for daily precipitation.
regression

via *unconstrained* error minimization

\[
\min \langle (l - L \cdot g)^2 \rangle
\]

explicit solution: \( L = C_{lg}(C_{gg})^{-1} \)

---

expanded downscaling

via *constrained* error minimization

\[
\min \langle (l - L \cdot g)^2 \rangle
\]

*cond. upon*

\[
L \cdot C_{gg} \cdot L^T = C_i
\]

Solution \( L \) unique but approximative ( \( \Rightarrow \) nonlinear optimization )
Expanded downscaling is the unique optimum linear model (in the l. sq. sense) that preserves local covariance.

When driven by observed global fields it simulates realistic local variability on the daily scale.

When driven by changed global fields, e.g. in a climate scenario, the local variability might change accordingly.
How to proceed

observed atmosphere

simulated atmosphere

ECHAM

HadCM3

NCEP

define \( l = L_g \)

apply \( l = L_g \)

EDS

„weather“
European EDS applications

- **EUROTAS** - EURopean river flood Occurrence and Total risk Assessment System
- **DFNK** - German research network natural disasters
- **SHYDEX** - Scenarios of hydrologic extremes (DFG project)
Global circulation

North Atlantic/European sector:

- 500 hPa geopotential height
- 850 hPa temperature
- 700 hPa specific humidity

Circulation types (daily):

**observed:**
- ANA - 30 years global NCEP reanalyses 1961-90 (EDS calibration);

**simulated** from ECHAM4/OPYC3 (DKRZ Hamburg):
- CTL - 300 years control run;
- SCA - 240 years IS95a simulation (1860-2100, 2061-2090 in various plots).

**simulated** from HadCM3 (Hadley Centre, U.K.):
- HDL - 140 years IS95a simulation

*IS95a: IPCC emission scenario "business as usual"*
EDS validation
for Saar basin (Germany) and Jizera basin (Cechia)
events are often simulated with a slight temporal aberration (arrows)
Variability of mean realistic, scale of annual maximum too strong for CTL and SCA (maybe not).

Control simulation suggests strong natural fluctuations.

Increase for mean and maximum under global warming scenario.

**OBS**: local observations;  
**ANA**: downscaled analyses;  
**CTL**: downscaled GCM control  
**SCA**: downscaled GCM scenario
$m_p, f_p$ and $I_p$ climate simulations
(Neckar basin)
Extreme value analysis

- estimation of return periods limited by model calibration period of 30 years
- partition of 300 year control run into 10 30-year sections
- using 2061-2090 from the scenario

Result:

*present*: OBS + ANA + 10×CTL (12 cdf's)

*future*: SCA (1 cdf)

cdf: cumulative distribution function
Extreme value analysis
Neckar basin

winter

summer

return period [y]

areal P [mm/d]

+ OBS
+ ANA
- 10 x CTL
* SCA
RH dependence on T, present and future

Karlsruhe

- 1961-90 (observed)
- 1961-90 (GCM -> EDS)
- 2061-90 (GCM->EDS)
RH under local and global warming

- winter climate
- summer climate

global warming
Conclusions for the Rhine

- EDS reliably reproduces observed local precipitation clusters from observed global circulation fields...

- The local $P$-climate downscaled from GCMs
  - partly suffers from incorrect GCM climate.
  - reveals immense “natural” (CTL generated) variability.
  - shows an increase of winter and summer $I_P$.
  - shows a decrease of summer $f_P$.

- The net effect on $f_P$ and $I_P$ is determined by the locally characteristic regression on $T$.
  - For winter $I_P$, both global and local warming act for larger $I_P$.
  - For summer $f_P$, local warming probably dominates, leading to a decrease in $f_P$.

- This supports and adds important detail to the current wisdom that stems from climate models and is reported by the IPCC.